

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/057,546	01/25/2002	Alfred Ebbinghaus	02-139 3046	
7590 02/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Gregory P. LaPointe			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
Bachman & LaPointe, P.C. 900 Chapel Street, Suite 1201 New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UŅIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	/

\$1 \$2 \cdot	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/057,546	EBBINGHAUS, ALFRED				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan Kuhns	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 No	ovember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This a	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 15-27 is/are pending in the application).	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-27</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>17-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/057,546

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 19-20 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is based on the confusing wording of claim 24. Since "it" apparently refers to "process", the limitations following are confusing because they refer to a structure rather than manipulative steps.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 17-18 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiedler et al. (2,979,392). Fiedler et al. disclose or suggest the basic claimed method for the production of a reinforced foam part including (1) forming a hollow external formed part (note the reference to a pipe at column 3, line 55), (2) locating a prepreg body within the hollow external formed part, (3) providing a metal foam material within the hollow external formed part by foaming the prepreg body within the hollow part, and (4) simultaneously with the foaming, contacting the metal foam material with at least a part of the hollow external formed part so that the foam rest in form-fit relation (note the integral part disclosed at column 3, line 72) against the hollow external formed part. Forming a pipe (the hollow external formed part) of metal is well known and would have

Art Unit: 1732

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to form a stable perform with the capability to withstand stresses.

Fiedler et al. teach the formation of closed cells, as in claim 18, at column 3, lines 46-50. Fiedler et al. appear not to explicitly discuss resistance to deformation, but it is well known that forming a foam core within a hollow preform enhances resistance to deformation and loading properties (relative to a hollow body filled with only gas) and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art practicing the process of Fiedler et al. in order to add strength to the article. It is submitted that pipe materials proposed by Fiedler et al. are considered to be "workable", as in claims 21-23.

4.Applicants' arguments filed November 11, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments are considered to be most by the examiner based on the revised grounds of rejection introduced in this Office action.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

2-9-04